

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,893	08/24/2001	Robert Sesek	10010609-1 2504	
75	90 01/30/2006		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/938,893	SESEK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Houshang Safaipour	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	ovember 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-13,15-21,26 and 27</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-10,13,15-18,21,26 and 27</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>11,12,19 and 20</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and accomposition and accomposition to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed on November 7, 2005 has been entered and made of record.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The underlined portion of the following limitation of claim 1 is vague and indefinite:

"a scan window illumination device including a plurality of light sources with individual of the plurality of moveable position markers including a one of the plurality of the light sources and with the plurality of the light sources configured to direct light into the first side and the second side.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,893

Art Unit: 2627

Claims 1, 2, 7-10, 13, 15, 16-18, 21, 26, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Kurata et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,518,999).

Regarding claim 1, Kurata et al. discloses a scan window apparatus for indicating a scan window within a member of a scanning device, the member having a first side and a second side intersecting the first side (fig. 1) comprising:

a scan window definition device including a plurality of moveable position markers (cursors 3, 4, 5 and 6) configured to move along the first side and the second side of the member (fig. 1, col. 1, lines 30-41); and

Kurata discloses that the cursors (position markers) 3, 4, 5 and 6 are used in a conventional picture image position setting apparatus in which a desired region of an original document can be **directly designated on a platen** (col. 1, lines 23-25). Kurata uses cursors, in different configuration, in his "Picture Image Position setting Apparatus" for designating desired region of an original on the platen. Kurata further discloses that a light emitting element such as a light emission diode may be provided at the cursors. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art to provide light emission diode at cursors 3, 4, 5 and 6 of a conventional position setting apparatus to identify a desired region (scanning window) on platen 2 as shown on figure 1.

Regarding claim 2, Kurata discloses the scan window apparatus of claim 1, and wherein the member comprises an essentially transparent platen defined by a first surface on which an object to be scanned can be placed, and an opposite second surface (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 7, Kurata discloses the scan window apparatus of claim 1, wherein: the member includes a transparent platen having a top surface and a bottom surface; and

Application/Control Number: 09/938,893

Art Unit: 2627

the plurality of the light sources are located proximate to the first side and the second side to allow the light to enter the platen between the top surface and the bottom surfaces (fig. 1 and please refer to the detailed explanation under claim 1).

Page 4

Regarding claims 8, 9, 13, 15, 16-18, 21, and 27, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1 are applicable to claims 8, 9, 13, 15, 16-18, 21 and 27.

Regarding claims 10, Kurata discloses the scan window apparatus of claim 9, wherein the scan window definition device comprises a plurality of moveable position markers configured to move along the first edge and the second edge of the scanable surface and thereby define the scan window, the scan window apparatus further comprising a plurality of position detectors configured to detect the positions of the plurality of position markers along the first and second edges of the scanable surface and to generate position signals in response thereto, and wherein the apparatus is configured to use at least one of the position signals to direct the focused beam of light (fig. 1, col. 1, lines 42-51 and also the discussion under claim 1).

Regarding claim 26, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 10 are applicable to claim 26.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 12, 19, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,893

Art Unit: 2627

conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (571)272-7412. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles, Sr. can be reached on (571)272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,893 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Houshang Safaipour Patent Examiner Art Unit 2622 January 20, 2006

Q!

Illu